

**Cost / Schedule  
Executive Session**

**Director's CD-1/Trial CD-2  
Review of the  
MINERvA Project**

December 13-15, 2005

L. Edward Temple, Jr.

# Agenda

## Wednesday, December 14, 2005 (Morning break will be available outside Comitium at 10:30)

|                 |    |  |  |
|-----------------|----|--|--|
| 8:00 – 8:30 AM  | 30 | Cost & Schedule Executive Session (Comitium – WH2SE)   | Ed Temple  |
|                 |    | Breakout Sessions  |  |
| 8:30 – 12:30 PM |    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WBS 1, 2 &amp; 4 Scintillator &amp; Fiber (Snake Pit – WH2NE)</li> </ul>                  | Anna Pla-Dalmau, Howard Budd                             |
| 8:30 – 12:30 PM |    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WBS 3, 8 &amp; 9 Module/Plane, Detector Parts Assembly (Black Hole – WH2NW)</li> </ul>    | Jeff Nelson, Jim Kilmer, Robert Bradford, Ron Ransome    |
| 8:30 – 12:30 PM |    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WBS 5, 6 &amp; 7 PMT's, PMT Boxes and Electronics &amp; DAQ (Racetrack – WH7X)</li> </ul> | Ioana Niculescu, Tony Mann, Casper, Paolone              |
| 9:30 – 12:30 PM |    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WBS 10 Management/Cost/Schedule/ WBS 11 I&amp;I (Comitium WH2SE)</li> </ul>               | Debbie Harris, Nancy Grossman, TJ Sarlina, Sheri Landrud |
| 12:30 – 1:30 PM |    | LUNCH (WH2X)   |  |
| 1:30 – 2:30 PM  |    | MINERvA's response to review committees questions (Comitium – WH2SE)   | Debbie Harris, Nancy Grossman                            |
| 2:30 – 4:00 PM  |    | Executive Session  | Ed Temple  |
| 4:00 PM         |    | Report Writing   |  |

## Thursday, December 15, 2005

|                 |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--|
| 8:00 – 10:00 AM | Continue Report Writing                                |  |
| 10:00 – 2:30 PM | Closeout Dry Run with working lunch (Comitium – WH2SE) |  |
| 2:30 PM         | Closeout (Racetrack – WH7X)                            |  |

# Cost/Schedule Review Guidance

*These are CD-2  
Requirements.*

*Now at CD-1.*

*We should use as  
a guide for  
assessing a  
baseline “range”  
or appropriate  
contingency.*

## Project Technical, Cost, and Schedule Baseline Development

### To Succeed in Cost / Schedule Arena

Estimate must be

#### **Complete**

Scope well understood and defined

Technical goal must be clear

Technology to be used to meet this goal known

Designate how technical systems will be acquired

I.e. buy, have fabricated, self fabricated

Buy parts / fabricate / assemble

How will this be accomplished

Self fabricate / assemble – lab or university(ies)

How will person power requirements be met

And paid for

All tasks defined and specified in a work breakdown structure

WBS dictionary

#### **Documented** at lowest level of WBS and include

M&S – materials and services

SWF – salaries, wages, & fringes

Accompanied by schedule showing appropriate durations

Adders – overheads / G&A (general & administrative)

Escalated – shown both with and without escalation with funding  
profile based on laboratory/DOE/Federal  
budget/appropriation guidance

# Cost/Schedule Review Guidance

## (Continued)

### **Reviewable**

Estimate must “roll-up” from the lowest level to the total and reviewers must be able to drill down from the top to the lowest level

### **Credible**

Basis of estimate must be specified

- Catalog prices

- Similar work, where cost is documented

- Engineering estimates

- WAG – wild ass guess

This material forms basis for DOE approving a baseline, for Fermilab/Collaboration Project Management to measure performance and take appropriate corrective actions during execution and for Laboratory Management and DOE to monitor progress.

# Cost/Schedule Review Guidance

## (Continued)

### **Baseline Reviews**

When preparing a baseline, it can be helpful to be aware of and prepared for the types of things a Director's Technical/Cost/Schedule/Management Review Committee or a DOE Baseline Review Committee will be looking for. The following provides some insight into such reviews. Review Committees are frequently broken up into subgroups which are then assigned to look at specific systems or subprojects within a project.

To be available for reviewers one week prior to the review

- Conceptual &/or Technical Design Reports

- Design Review materials (web address was provided)

  - Materials presented at most recent design review for system

- Detailed schedule for system (to be looked at during breakout sessions)

- Cost Estimate Details for system (will be provided at low levels of the WBS)

  - Including WBS Dictionary and BOE – Basis of Estimate detail sheets

  - (BOE notebooks will be available in breakout rooms)

Tabbed hardcopies of review materials and presentations to be available at the review.  
Enough for committee, observers, and a half dozen extras

# Cost/Schedule Review Guidance

## (Continued)

### **Technical / Cost / Schedule / Management Review Guidelines** (things reviewers are asked to do)

#### **Technical**

Examine Design Review Materials (including TDRs & CDRs) for your system

Assess level at which **scope is understood and defined**

Assess level that **technical aspects of the system are understood, planned, designed, procured/fabricated and/or prototyped**

#### **Cost**

Choose >~5 top level WBS elements from your system

*Drill down* to successively lower levels of the WBS; while at each step

Understanding the **scope** of that element

Understanding the **schedule** for that element

Understanding the **basis of estimate (BOE)** for **both M&S and effort** for that element

Choose a few elements next lowest level of the WBS

And repeat this procedure until you get to the bottom level.

I.e., the lowest level of the WBS

Choose >~5 items in the system for which you have personal experience

Interact with the responsible managers to **determine if**

**The Estimate is complete, documented, reviewable, and credible**

# Cost/Schedule Review Guidance

## (Continued)

Check that there is a **detailed BOE for all work elements** in your system

Check whether the **estimate for your system “rolls-up”** from the lowest level WBS element to the total for your system

Does each level of the WBS contain all costs from lower level WBS elements

Assess the **“bottoms up” contingency that the WBS level 3 managers would assign** their components.

Assess the **“top down” contingency analysis assignments by the Project Manager**

### Schedule

Is there a detailed schedule, including a critical path, for completing the project? Are milestones appropriate in number and type identified so that the project teams, Fermilab management, and DOE can effectively track and manage progress? Based on past experience, can the proposed schedules be met? Are appropriate schedule contingencies provided? Is there a “resource loaded schedule” and plan for providing the needed resources (M&S and technical support staff and physicists)?

# Cost/Schedule Review Guidance

## (Continued)

### Funding

Have techniques such as forward funding by collaborators and phased funding of large contracts been appropriately incorporated into the planning? Does the anticipated funding profile support the resource requirements?

### Management

Is an **appropriate / adequate project organizational structure** in place and **staffed** (or are plans in place) to do the job.

Has the **appropriate project management documentation** been prepared. Is it of a quality adequate for this stage of the project? Are **appropriate / adequate management systems** (Cost and Schedule Control System / Earned Value Reporting, Critical Path Management, Risk Management, etc.) in place or planned for use during project execution?



# Reviewer Assignments

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Executive Summary  | <u>Ed Temple</u>  |
| 1.0 Introduction   | <u>Dean Hoffer</u>  |
| 2.0 Science  | <u>Heidi Schellman</u>  |
| 3.0 Scintillator Extrusions, WLS Fiber and Clear Fiber Cables                  | <u>Dmitri Denisov,</u><br><u>Heidi Schellman</u>                    |
| 4.0 Plane Assembly, Outer Detector Frame, Absorbers, Stand and Module Assembly | <u>Mike Crisler,</u><br><u>Joe Howell</u>                           |
| 5.0 PMT's and PMT Boxes  | <u>Karol Lang,</u><br><u>Hogan Nguyen</u>                           |
| 6.0 Electronics & DAQ  | <u>Hogan Nguyen,</u><br><u>Karol Lang</u>                           |
| 7.0 Installation and Infrastructure  | <u>Mike Lindgren,</u><br><u>Marc Kaducak,</u><br><u>Dean Hoffer</u> |
| 8.0 Cost and Schedule  | <u>Marc Kaducak,</u><br><u>Jeff Simms,</u><br><u>Dean Hoffer</u>    |
| 9.0 Management   | <u>Jeff Sims,</u><br><u>Mike Lindgren,</u><br><u>Ed Temple</u>      |

# Reviewer Assignments

## (continued)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| 10.0 Charge Questions  |  |
| 10.1 Are the physics requirements clearly stated and documented?   | <u>Heidi Schellman</u> ,<br>Dmitri Denisov,<br>Hogan Nguyen,<br>Joe Howell,<br>Karol Lang,<br>Mike Crisler,<br>Mike Lindgren |
| 10.2 Have these physics requirements been translated into technical performance requirements / specifications?                         |  |
| 10.3 Have alternative designs been considered and reasons for selecting one alternative over another documented and deemed reasonable? |  |
| 10.4 Can the design be built? Does the design meet the technical specifications? Is it a reasonable design?                            |  |
| 10.5 Is the Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) appropriate for the project scope?  | <u>Dean Hoffer</u> , All   |
| 10.6 Do the cost estimates for each WBS (or cost) element have a sound documented basis and are they reasonable?                       |  |
| 10.7 Does an obligation profile exist?   |  |

\* Note underlined names are the primary writer.

# Reviewer Assignments

(continued)

|  |                              |
|--|------------------------------|
| 10.8 Is the schedule well developed and resource loaded?   | <u>Marc Kaducak</u> ,<br>All |
| 10.9 Are the activity durations reasonable for the assumed resources?  |                              |
| 10.10 Is the schedule duration feasible for the resources assigned to accomplish the tasks?  |                              |
| 10.11 Does the schedule contain appropriate levels of milestones, sufficient quantity of milestones for tracking progress and do they appear to be achievable?                     |                              |
| 10.12 Does the schedule include activities for design reviews, which include assessment of the designs readiness for procuring prototypes, preproduction and production materials? |                              |

\* Note underlined names are the primary writer.

# Reviewer Assignments

(continued)

|   |                        |
|---|------------------------|
| 10.13 Is there an appropriate management organizational structure in place to accomplish the design and construction? | <u>Jeff Sims</u> , All |
| 10.14 Is the organization structure well documented, responsibilities defined and appropriate for the scope of work?  |                        |
| 10.15 Are there adequate staffing resources available or planned for this effort?                                     |                        |
| 10.16 Is there a funding plan available or proposed to meet the resource requirements to realize the project?         |                        |
| 10.17 Has a Risk Assessment been performed, mitigations identified, actions taken and do they seem appropriate?       |                        |

\* Note underlined names are the primary writer.

# Breakout Assignments

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>WBS 1, 2 &amp; 4 Scintillator &amp; Fiber</b> (Snake Pit – WH2NE)                  | Dmitri Denisov,<br>Heidi Schellman   |
| <b>WBS 3, 8 &amp; 9 Module/Plane, Detector Parts Assembly</b> (Black Hole – WH2NW)    | Joe Howell,<br>Mike Crisler  |
| <b>WBS 5, 6 &amp; 7 PMT's, PMT Boxes and Electronics &amp; DAQ</b> (Racetrack – WH7X) | Karol Lang,<br>Hogan Nguyen  |
| <b>WBS 10 Management/Cost/Schedule/ WBS 11 I&amp;I</b> (Comitium WH2SE)               | Marc Kaducak,<br>Jeff Sims,<br>Mike Lindgren,<br>Dean Hoffer,<br>Ed Temple |

# MINERvA's Cost & Contingency Estimate

| WBS        | Items  | MINERvA's Estimate AY\$ |              |              |               |       |       |                |              |              |                                  |
|------------|--|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------|-------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
|            |  | Base w/Indirects        |              |              | Contingency % |       |       | Contingency \$ |              |              | Total Base w/Indirects and Cont. |
|            |  | M&S                     | Labor        | Total        | M&S           | Labor | Total | M&S            | Labor        | Total        |                                  |
| M I E      | 1.0 Scintillator Extrusion                       | \$ 41,237               | \$ 206,691   | \$ 247,928   | 27%           | 21%   | 22%   | \$ 11,009      | \$ 44,095    | \$ 55,103    | \$303,031                        |
|            | 2.0 WLS Fibers                                   | \$ 406,771              | \$ 163,583   | \$ 570,354   | 41%           | 21%   | 35%   | \$ 167,622     | \$ 34,016    | \$ 201,638   | \$ 771,992                       |
|            | 3.0 Scintillator Plan Assembly                   | \$ 232,706              | \$ 712,406   | \$ 945,112   | 48%           | 40%   | 42%   | \$ 110,616     | \$ 284,963   | \$ 395,578   | \$ 1,340,690                     |
|            | 4.0 Clear Fiber Cables                           | \$ 334,136              | \$ 605,394   | \$ 939,530   | 39%           | 38%   | 38%   | \$ 129,351     | \$ 230,247   | \$ 359,597   | \$ 1,299,127                     |
|            | 5.0 Photomultiplier Tube Boxes                   | \$ 465,103              | \$ 305,971   | \$ 771,074   | 40%           | 34%   | 38%   | \$ 184,666     | \$ 104,805   | \$ 289,471   | \$ 1,060,545                     |
|            | 6.0 Photomultiplier Tubes                        | \$ 1,068,174            | \$ 127,635   | \$ 1,195,809 | 30%           | 33%   | 30%   | \$ 319,108     | \$ 42,120    | \$ 361,228   | \$ 1,557,037                     |
|            | 7.0 Electronics and DAQ                          | \$ 474,204              | \$ 22,830    | \$ 497,034   | 35%           | 34%   | 35%   | \$ 165,489     | \$ 7,685     | \$ 173,174   | \$ 670,207                       |
|            | 8.0 Frames, Absorbers, Coil and Detector Stand   | \$ 524,120              | \$ 134,728   | \$ 658,849   | 26%           | 50%   | 31%   | \$ 137,154     | \$ 67,364    | \$ 204,518   | \$ 863,367                       |
|            | 9.0 Module and Veto Wall Assembly & Installation | \$ 55,556               | \$ 220,341   | \$ 275,897   | 44%           | 89%   | 80%   | \$ 24,251      | \$ 195,316   | \$ 219,567   | \$ 495,464                       |
|            | 10.0 Project Management                          | \$ -                    | \$ 584,097   | \$ 584,097   |               | 30%   | 30%   | \$ -           | \$ 175,229   | \$ 175,229   | \$ 759,326                       |
| Total MIE: |  | \$ 3,602,007            | \$ 3,083,676 | \$ 6,685,683 | 35%           | 38%   | 36%   | \$ 1,249,265   | \$ 1,185,839 | \$ 2,435,103 | \$ 9,120,786                     |
| OPC        | R&D  | \$ 1,018,693            | \$ 1,776,276 | \$ 2,794,969 | 36%           | 37%   | 37%   | \$ 362,029     | \$ 658,166   | \$ 1,020,195 | \$ 3,815,165                     |
|            | Total OPC:                                       | \$ 1,018,693            | \$ 1,776,276 | \$ 2,794,969 | 36%           | 37%   | 37%   | \$ 362,029     | \$ 658,166   | \$ 1,020,195 | \$ 3,815,165                     |
|            | TPC:   | \$ 4,620,700            | \$ 4,859,952 | \$ 9,480,652 | 35%           | 38%   | 36%   | \$ 1,611,294   | \$ 1,844,005 | \$ 3,455,299 | \$ 12,935,951                    |
| 11.0       | Installation and Infrastructure                  | \$ 174,194              | \$ 424,019   | \$ 598,213   | 34%           | 41%   | 39%   | \$ 58,604      | \$ 174,737   | \$ 233,341   | \$ 831,553                       |

# Committee's Cost & Contingency Estimate

| WBS         | Items  | Review Committee Estimate AY\$ |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       | Total Base<br>w/Indirects and |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|
|             |  | Base w/Indirects               |       |       | Contingency % |       |       | Contingency \$ |       |       |                               |
|             |  | M&S                            | Labor | Total | M&S           | Labor | Total | M&S            | Labor | Total |                               |
| M<br>I<br>E | 1.0 Scintillator Extrusion                       |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 2.0 WLS Fibers                                   |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 3.0 Scintillator Plan Assembly                   |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 4.0 Clear Fiber Cables                           |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 5.0 Photomultiplier Tube Boxes                   |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 6.0 Photomultiplier Tubes                        |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 7.0 Electronics and DAQ                          |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 8.0 Frames, Absorbers, Coil and Detector Stand   |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 9.0 Module and Veto Wall Assembly & Installation |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 10.0 Project Management                          |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | Total MIE:                                       |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
| OPC         | R&D  |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | Total OPC:                                       |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | TPC:   |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |
|             | 11.0 Installation and Infrastructure             |                                |       |       |               |       |       |                |       |       |                               |